

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/219,288	12/22/1998	WILLIAM E. ASHER	BAE-037CP	1977
4	7590 08/14/2002			
THOMAS J ENGELLENNER NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			EXAMINER	
			ALEXANDI	ALEXANDER, LYLE
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT PAP	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	18
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 P				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/219,288	ASHER ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A Alexander	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	<u>fay 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>25-44</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	promy and or overer 3 resta	, (4) 5. (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Detect and Trade and LOW						

. Art Unit: 1743

Upon updating the search, a new reference was found that is particularly pertinent. The Office has removed the 11/8/01 rejection in favor of the below new rejection using the newly discovered reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 25-27,30-31,33,35,37-38 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Voorhees et al.

Voorhees et al. teach an apparatus for the spectroscopic identification of multiple types of hydrocarbons in a soil sample using an electronic library to compare the samples spectra and determine the hydrocarbons in the sample.

Applicants recitation of the intended use of the claimed apparatus is of no patentable moment with respect to the apparatus. The invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The Office maintains Voorhees et al. teaches the claimed apparatus and the taught apparatus would have been capable of determining a plurality of markers miscible in the fluid as presently claimed.

Art Unit: 1743

Claim R jections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 28-29,32,34,36,39-41 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voorhees et al.

See Voorhees et al. supra.

Voorhees et al. teach use of the infrared absorbance spectra, but are silent to the claimed "near" and "mid" infrared spectra, "Raman" spectra, multiple detectors and the specific absorptive bands of carbon-nitrile and isocyanate.

The court decided <u>In re Boesch</u> (205 USPQ 215) that optimization of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the skill of the art. A result effective variable is one that has predictable and well known results. The selection of a specific region of the infrared sprecta is a result effective variable based upon the specific compound identification desired. One of ordinary skill in the art would have used the apparatus taught by Voorhees et al. at the claimed wavelengths to achieve the well known and expected function of detection of specific compounds having claimed spectra.

The court decided <u>St. Regis Paper Co. vs. Bemis Co., Inc.</u> (193 USPQ 8,11) that duplication of parts to have the same but duplicative function would have been within the skill of the art. It would have been within the skill of the art to further modify Voorhees et al. to incorporate additional detectors to achieve the function of determining multiple electromagnetic spectrums.

.Art Unit: 1743

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-44 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-

3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319 for

regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743

August 12, 2002